App F. IX-4

1925.

File No. 167-25

(ಅಮಲಾಯಿ)

Serial Nos. 1-9

Previous References
ಅಮಲಾ 302-18-1-3
" 382-17-1-7

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for August 1926

SUBJECT

Petition from Sundar Dikshit praying that the Dy. Commr's order appealed against, may be held in suspension pending disposal of the appeal.

No. 266 dated 10.8.26.

To the Dy. Comr

Passes order confirming the D.C.'s order

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai

NOTES.

File No. 167-25

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy temple at Avani in the Sulliyar Taluk.

From

Sundara Dikshith, Archak.

No. Petition Dated 21.12.25. Received 22/12/25

Diary No. 711 Enclos. 4 Spare Copies. – Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Kolar Dy. Comrs. order directing the appointment of Rama Dikshith (respdt.) as the Head Archak, and the apltt) Sundara Dikshith, as Archak of the inam

NOTES AND ORDERS.

and prays that the Dy. Comrs. order appealed against, may be held in suspense pending disposal of the appeal.

The appeal petition is duly stamped. An authenticated copy of the Dy. Comrs. order

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No

appealed against is produced. The Dy. Comr's. order is dated 26-9-1925 while the appeal petition was received on 21.12.25 it is in time.

2 One Srikanta Dikshitu — paternal uncle of the aplt. is the Head Archak and on account of his age etc, the Dy. Comr has ordered that the Ramiah who is senior in age, be appointed as the Head Archak and the aplt as the Archak of the temple.

3 Against this order, Sundara Dikshitu has appealed to Govt praying that he may be appointed as the Head Archak

4 The grounds for appeal are summarized in the petition.

5 The aplt says that the post of Head Archak which is a combination of Archak and Agama duties of the temple is hereditarily vested in his family, and that the respt Archak who is a Sivia Dwija, is disqualified from attending to Agama duties and Rudrabhisheka and prays that an hereditary etc.

WD 0569—GPF -40,000—31-7

File No. of 192

General and Revenu Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

claims, he may be appointed as the Head Archaka in place of his uncle... He adds that the local authorities are taking coercive steps to bring the Dy. Commr. order into effect, that temple articles etc. (jewels) in his possession (from the time of his ancestors) will be taken away from him, and prays for a stay order pending disposal of his appeal. He adds (submits from orders) that his uncle is now on leave and that (with effect) from the 1st Febry. 1926, he is doing the Head Archaka duties as per Dy. Commr's. order conveyed to him in Tahsildar endt. dt 9-2-1926 and that the local officers may be directed not to disturb him pending disposal of his appeal.

AV 23-11-25

(original endt. No. 79 dt 9.2.26) YV

This seems to be a case in which a stay order is called for, as the 1st Archaka is in charge of the properties of the Temple. A report with the records called for.

8 The records may be called for & a stay order issued pendi[ng] disposal of the petition.

23/12/25

RSN

MR 23/12

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7-25

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mez File No. 167 of 1925

Serial No

9 Does not appeal lie to the Mez. Commr. He seems to have called for a report in the case from the Dy Commr.

24/12

10 Under item no. 2 of column C of the Schedule of powers appended to G.O. No. 1332-63/Mez-63-22 of 25.9.1922, the appeal in question which relates to the hereditary post of the Mahata of a temple lies to Govt. against the ~~Dy. Commrs~~ Dy Manager officers order, and not to the Mez. Commr.

11 On a miscellaneous petition the Mez. Commr might have for a report in the matter, but he has no power to pass final orders in the matter.

(Last para of D.C's order appealed against).

Enclosed.

A.V. 2-1-19 B.J. 2.1.26

13 The appeal being in order, the records may therefore be called for, & a stay order issued.

4/1.

Rev. Secy.

The records may be called for & stay order issued by immediate

The records may be called for within a fortnight. There is a concurrent finding. I am not sure if a stay order is req.

4.1.26.

80/51

WD 0569—GPB—40,000

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

Serial No 17

Dfts. Submitted.

6.1.26 18 Rev. Secy.)

6.1.26

19 Issue

6/1

To Muz Commr.

No 810 dt 8.1.26.

calls for report with records with the request that the execution of the Dts order maybe stayed pendn disposal of the present appeal.

3. 811 dt. 8.1.26 informs the petn of above

4. From, The Muz. Comr.

Letter No. C. 771 dt 12.3.26

Submits the report with records called for in Sl. 2.

20 The Dy. Comrs. order dt 26.9.25 explains the facts of the case.

21 The aplt complains that the Head Arachchi in dispute has to discharge both Agamik and Arachchi duties in the temple at as per orders of 1872 and 1873 The aplt is entitled to endure to Agama duties during Ratotsava while his opponent

WD 0569—GPB—J ,000—3

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

	Serial No.	

Serial No

a Suia Dhwaja by birth is disqualified from doing this work and prays that he may be appointed as the Head Mchak in preference to his opponent.

22 The D.C's order appealed against is silent on this point

23 A Off. directing further enquiry is submit. for appl or order

— M 5.4.26 6/4/26

Please bring up. M 6/4

Enquiry & report

24 on the above point seems necessary, for the disposal of this appeal, once for all. The draft put up is for approval.

Rev. Secy) 10/4

25 Is there any information at all on this point in the records? Whether the appellants preferred such a contention before the DC or S.D.O. may be examined. Unless the case can be remanded for further enquiry the records must be carefully examined.

The DC should have furnished a full report on all the points in the appeal petn.

14/4 S/14/4

Serial No

M.N.

28 The records were looked into.

29 In their petitions dt. May 24 and 31st March 1925, addressed to the Dy. Comr., both Srikanta Dikshitu, Head Archak who has vacated the office on account of old age — and Sundara Dikshitu (his brother's son and the present applt. before Govt.) — urge that they are hereditary Archaks receiving Paditara amounts from Govt., and that the Agama Hak is hereditarily vested in their family, etc.

30 Both the Sub Divl. Officer and Dy. Comr's. orders are silent (2) on the point. There does not appear to be any specific finding on the point.

31 Orders solicited on the draft calling for a report on this point after ~~relinquishing the case for further~~ an enquiry.

32 Submit. for orders.

27.4.26

28/4/26

33 1) I have also ~~examined~~ the records, apart 29/4 2) On this main point in question, there seems to be no finding, either by the S.D.O or by the D.C. The point was in effect urged before the D.C. in the petitions (M & N), as noted above.

3) I do not propose that the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Case should be remanded for further enquiry, but that a report of enquiry on this point may be obtained and the case disposed of by Govt. once for all. Draft, calling for a report after ~~on~~ an ~~further~~ enquiry on this point, is put up, for approval or other orders. The D.C has not furnished a report on this point.

Respce:- Secy's Note above (dated 12-4-26).

35 Rev (Secy) 29/4

35 I think the order of the D.C. is quite correct and requires to be upheld. A full enquiry into the matter has been held - A perusal of the statements of 12.2.25 of Sri Cuttabukshit and Sundarbukshit - the appellants shows that - there is no documentary evidence to substantiate the contention that - the Head archakship is hereditary - belongs to their family. In fact the original plea put forward is that - the Respdt. does not entitled to the archakship as an heir and to the Parichaarka ship - but that has not been pressed, since for the last two years the archakship of the temple has been jointly registered in the names of the contending parties.

There is also no evidence let in on the contention of the appellant that he is entitled to ~~Haraganabar~~ discharge the agamik duty while the respondent is disqualified ~~not competent~~ for it being a Sanyasinija - & that on that ground the Head archak ship should be conferred on him in preference to the Respdt.

I am not sure if any further enquiry on this

Serial No

point is very at this stage -

Head reference is invited to the statement given by Sri Cauli Sastri & Damayya before the Sheriff on 2 4 1914 - copy - in the records - ~~which~~ in connection with the change of injury - which explains the injuries causing the Head contusions - a mere convenient appellation -

This is an important piece of evidence and the X Do would have done well if the parties had been questioned about this statement - by the original had been put with the records -

18 months

37/35

1.5.26

Case may be posted for hearing 4 8th June & the from 4 the parties

3/5

4/5

Notices
Dft. submitted
Issue today only.
7.5.26
7/5.

8th June will not suit me - 10th July may be fixed

390/28.5

Dft. notice submitted within adj. 28/5/26
The adj. date & time parties issue
29.5.26 29/5/26 29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192 5

Serial No

Case called. Applicant represented by Mr. C. Nagappa Advocate. Respondent unrepresented by counsel but present.

Mr. Nagappa asked for short adjournment as he had no time to study the records having been engaged only to-day. The Case is posted for hearing on the 17th inst at 12 Noon.

The respondent has been told that the Case will be taken up on 17th inst.

Crump
10/7/26

OFFICE OF THE COUNCIL
SECOND
17 JULY 1926
No. 360

Case called — Appellant and his advocate present but ~~not~~ the respondent is absent. Nor is he represented by any Counsel. Another chance may be given to the latter to be present when the case is ~~heard~~ heard. The case is adjourned to 31st July 26, 12 Noon and notices may be issued. In the notice to the respondent, it may be stated that no more chance will be given to him & that if he does not turn up on 31st inst the case will be proceeded with, tho' he is absent.

Mug 13.7.26

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 167 of 192 5

Serial No.

743/31.7

Dfh. notice Submit
AV 17.7.26. Issue at once
17/7

Case heard on 31 July 26. Appellant represented by Mr C Nagappa. Appellant and Respondent both ~~presented~~ present the latter not represented by Counsel

Order.

The office of Head Archakship is not recognised in the Scale of establishment of the temple sanctioned in G.O. No. 1918-Ed 23-6-19 according to Memo 302-18-2 which there are only two Archaks on a pay of Rs 8 each. It is however convenient as observed by the Dy Commr. to treat one of the Archaks as the Head Archak for practical purposes. The Head Archakship is not hereditary and whichever of the two Archaks is considered competent to hold it may be permitted to work as such. The Dharmadarsi, the Sub Dy Officer and the Dy Commr - all hold that the Respondent is, by his age and qualifications, more fitted to be the Head Archak. ~~The~~ Both parties when questioned admitted that the emoluments of the office were equally shared by them and that the one had no complaint against the other in this respect. The decision of the Dy Commr is in these circs confirmed and the appeal dismissed

Draft CRO 21/7/26. Mr 31.7.26

WD 91264—GPB —40,000—17-12-25

	Serial No.	
Mr. A.V. Please bring up [illegible]		Aff. G.O. submitted for approval. AV 4/8/26 5/8/26 Rev. Sec'y
	9	To mun Commr No 266 D/10826 Passes orders confirming the D.C's order. No 267-8 D/10 826. Copies to departments

ONE RUPEE

Muz D. No. 711

Muz 167-25-1

శ్రీమన్ మహామహోపాధ్యాయ కీర్తిశేషులు పండిత కవి సార్వభౌమ
రాజాధిరాజ సకల విద్యా పారంగతులు పండితోత్తంసులు
వర శ్రీ శ్రీనివాసాచార్యులు

అవధానులు వారికి

సుందర పద్మిణీ
అవధాని
శ్రీరామాచార్యులు
నిలువుటేల శ్రీనివాసులు
అనంతాచార్యులు
ముత్తగూడెపు కృష్ణయ్య
కోటలింగయ్య

శిష్యులు

రామాచార్యులు
అవధాని
గోపాలాచార్యులు
అనంతాచార్యులు
ముత్తగూడెపు కృష్ణయ్య
కోటలింగయ్య

(1) కోట్లాంధ్ర దేవ పద్మిణీ కవి అవధాన సార్వభౌమ బిరుదాంకితులు
మన్నన అనగా ఎవరు అని అడిగితే శతావధాన సరస్వతి
శతావధాన సార్వభౌమ వాగ్విలాస కోట్లాంధ్ర
కవి పండితులు తమ తమ కవితా
వ్యాసంగాన్ని ఉపయోగించి ప్రజల మన్ననలు పొందుతూ
ఉన్నారు.

(2) కవి పండితులు తమ దేశాన్ని దేశాంతర్భాగాన్ని ఉపయోగించి
కావ్యాలు రచించి ప్రజల మన్ననలు పొందుతూ
కాలక్షేపం చేస్తూ ఉన్నారు. అట్లాగే మహామహోపాధ్యాయ
శ్రీ శ్రీనివాసాచార్యులు శ్రీ పద్మిణీ కవి
ఇంద్రగంటి వారు తమ తండ్రి గారి వద్ద
అవధాన విద్య నేర్చుకొని తమ తండ్రి గారి
పేరు మీద పద్మిణీ కవి అవధాన సార్వభౌమ బిరుదాంకితులై
ప్రజల మన్ననలు పొందుతూ ఉన్నారు. అట్లాగే వారి
శిష్యులు కూడా అవధాన విద్యలో ప్రావీణ్యులు
కావడం వల్ల వారు కూడా ప్రజల మన్ననలు పొందుతూ
ఉన్నారు.

[illegible] 1926 [illegible] 9 [illegible]
[illegible] 9-2-1924 [illegible] 79 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1872 [illegible] 1873 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

గౌరవనీయులైన శ్రీ కాశీ అధ్యక్షులవారికి నమస్కారములు.

మన్యమి సీమ రేగాణి మండలము ఉపరుత్తరపు వారు
అయి వీరు రక్షణ చదువుకొంటూ ఇప్పటి సేంద్రియ వ్యవస్థ
లో కొంత శిక్షణ పొందుచూ ఎంతో సేవ చేస్తున్నారు
శ్రీ మన్ వి. వ. ఎల్. నరసింహ జీ అధ్యక్షులు రేపల్లె కాశీ సంస్థ
అధ్యక్షులు, ఇతర సంస్థ కార్యవర్గ సభ్యులు
ఈ విషయమై మీతో సంప్రదించారు. కనుక వీరికి
మీ దగ్గర ఆశ్రయ శిక్షణ నిమిత్తమై వీరిని పంపిస్తున్నాము
అధ్యక్షులవారు దయచేసి వీరిని మీ సంస్థ లో
శిక్షణకు తీసుకొనగలరు. వీరికి భోజన వసతి
గురించి మీ సంస్థ వారు ఏర్పాటు చేయగలరు. వీరి
కుటుంబ సభ్యులు వారి పోషణ నిమిత్తమై అడుగుచున్నారు
కనుక వీరికి సాధ్యమైనంత త్వరగా ఏర్పాటు
చేయగలరు. శలవు తీసుకొని వెళుతున్నారు

గౌరవనీయులైన అధ్యక్షులవారికి...
ఆశీస్సులతో
రామకృష్ణ గోపాలరావు

21. 12. 25



In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District
Dated 26th September 1925
Muzrai appeal No. 9 of 24—25
Present:- S. Hiriamanna, Esq., M.A. B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

Appellant:- ... Sundara Dikshita, Archak of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple at Avani, Mulbagal Taluk

vs.

Respondent:- . Rama Dikshita, Archak of Sri Ramalingeswami temple at Avani, Mulbagal Taluk.

Facts of the case:- This is an appeal against the ~~order~~ Kolar Sub Division Officer's order No. OC 284/23-24 dated the 16th February 1925, passed by that Officer, in accordance with this Office order No. D1. Dis. 600 of 24—25 dated 30th December 1924. The facts are the following. Srikanta Dikshita and Rama Dikshita are the archaks of the temple of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami at Avani Mulbagal taluk. Srikanta Dikshita

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR. KOLAR DISTRICT.

having been old and infirm, Sundara Dikshita, the son of Srikanta Dikshita's brother, has taken the place of the barawardar. Hence Sundara Dikshita and Rama Dikshita have been doing the puja at the temple. A question arose as to who should be the Head Archak of the temple, each Archak contending that he was the Head Archak. Sundara Dikshita stated, that as a member of the Head Archak's family, he had a right to be the Head Archak. Rama Dikshita contended that his forefathers were the pujari hakdars and during his infancy, Srikanta Dikshita who was much older than himself was made to look after the duties of the Head Archak, for the sake of convenience and that therefore he was entitled to be the Head Archak, during the absence of Srikanta Dikshita.

The facts were fully enquired into by the Sub Division Officer, Kolar, to whom the matter was referred for enquiry and disposal. The Sub Division Officer found that Rama was entitled to be the Head Archak, and that Sundara Dikshita was to be the ordinary Archak of the temple and he passed orders accordingly. Hence his appeal. This case coming on for g the Court delivered the following

Order

I have perused the records and heard the appellants who was present at the hearing, the respondent being absent and I find that the decision of the Sub Divisional Officer is correct. Srikanta Dikshita the paternal uncle of the appellant has admitted that the office of the Head Archak is not hereditary, that this distinction is not supported by valid authority though it came into vogue in 1912 (for convenience) and that the appellant and respondent both belonged to the family of Archaks — the registry having originally stood in the name of Srikanta Dikshit and Nanjiswara Dikshit the adoptive father of the respondent. Srikanta Dikshit has further admitted that the respondent or his father was not a mere Panchanak (cook) of the temple. It is ~~quite~~ therefore quite equitable that the Archak office should be looked after by the respondent and appellant (owing to Srikanta Dikshit's

old

COMMISSIONER KOLAR KOLAR

old age) and that as the respondent is senior in age he should be the Head Archak. The distinction is convenient as tending to define responsibility more clearly and may be kept up. The Dharmadarsis have in their statement fully supported the evidence of Srikanta Dikshit.

There is no reason therefore to interfere with the Sub Division Officer's order which is merely confirmed and the appeal dismissed. A report may be sent to the Munsiff Commissioner with reference to his docket No. 265 dated 9th May 1925.

26.9.25

Sd. S. Hiramanaiya,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

"Copy"

Copy applied for on 15.9.25
Copy ready for delivery "
Copy delivered on "
Copied by V. Krishnakanthaiah
Compared by
No. of words 576.
Copying fee 0-12-0
Comparing fee 0-2-0.
Cost of paper 0-0-6

C. Ramachandra Rao
for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1911 [illegible] .24

5-1-24 [illegible]

నిమిత్తంతిద్దువర [illegible] శ్రీకంఠదీక్షితులు [illegible]
గానిదరు. ఆ పద్ధతియు ఇదువరకూడా జరిగింది ఇప్పు. 23

ఈగడీకి ఉపకారాధ్యక్షులుగా శ్రీకంఠదీక్షితులవారు వ్యవహరిస్తూ
వచ్చిన పిదప, కొన్ని సంవత్సరాలు ఆ పదవిలో కొనసాగి రాజీనామా చేయవలసి
వచ్చినందున ఆ పదవి ఖాళీగా మిగిలి ఉండరాదని ఉద్దేశించి, ఈ గడీకి ఉపకారాధ్యక్షులుగా నియమించి
పెండిరుత్తారు. ఇదివరకు దేవస్థానపు కరణీకులు ఆ పదవిలో కొనసాగుతూ వచ్చారు. కరణీకులు
గా నాను ఆ పదవిలో కొనసాగి రిలసీమంతటికీ వారే. కరణీకులు ఆ పదవిలో కొనసాగుతూ
వచ్చారు. ఈ ~~[illegible]~~ దేవస్థానపు అనివార్యంగా ఉపకారాధ్యక్షులు కూడా
కరణాను ఉద్యోగి వారి చుండుటచే ఆ నాలుగు సంవత్సరాలుగా రిలసీమ
ఆ పదవిని నిర్వహిస్తూ ఉపకారాధ్యక్షులు పెండుదిరుగుచు వచ్చినారు.
నిర్వహించి సంగతియున్నది.

ఈ విషయమై నిన్న అనగా 13.6.22 రోజున జరిగిన
ట్రస్టుబోర్డు యొక్క నిర్ణయము మేరకు ఇల్లగడి నాను కరణీకులు కాకుండా
రువలతో ఉపకారాధ్యక్షులుగా రిలసీమంతటికీ వారుండాలి. గ్రామములో
పుణ్యక్షేత్రాలు యున్నందు ఇటువంటి ఉపకారాధ్యక్షులు

17. 3. 23

No. 46/22

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

కృష్ణా జిల్లా పెనమలూరు మండలం

పెదపారుపూడి గ్రామం నందు సర్వే

గ్రామములో గల కాల్వపై ఆక్రమణలు జరిగి

రాయలు లేని కొబ్బరి లేని చెట్లు లేని ఇతరులు నాటి

నూజివీడు వారి స్థలం కలదు. 3 రోడ్డు రోడ్డుకు ఉత్తర

దిక్కున ఇతరులు కలిగి.

పై రకం ఆక్రమణలు గల స్థలములు పంచాయతీ

రోడ్డు రహదారి కొరకు స్థలం తీసుకోవడమైనది

రెండు కాల్వలు చేరుకోవడం అక్కడ రాతి కట్టడాలు

మధ్యలో 72 రోజులు పనులు జరుగుతున్నవి రెండు

నిర్మాణములు కిస్థలములు గలవి

ఈ తేదీ రాత్రి గ్రామములో ఆక్రమణ అన్నట్లు

అక్కడ అక్కడ తెలియకుండా స్థలాలు తంపరలు

వేయడం జరిగినది 3 ఆక్రమణలు గల కాల్వపై ఆక్రమ

కాలువ నందు రాతి కట్టడం కట్టి రోడ్డు వేయడం

ఆ అక్రమ కాలువ కట్టడం తీసివేయగలరు 3 రోజు

క్రితం రాత్రి గ్రామములో రాజకీయ కారణాల వలన

స్థలాలు అమ్మకాలు ఆక్రమణలు జరిగినవి అందులో

సి. 67 రోజు నుండి సి. 9 రోజు స్థలం 2.394 రోడ్డు

341

వి.కొంత 1.5 అడుగు ఇదివరకు సి. 1168 రోడ్డు

నుండి 8 రోజు నుండి సి. 8 రోజు స్థలం 18 కాంక్రీట్

ఇలు కొంత కాలువ పక్కన ఆక్రమణ 3 మీటర్ల

కొలత రోడ్డు మధ్యలో రాళ్ళు పోయడం జరిగినది

ఇవి గ్రామములో పెద్దలు పెద్దమనుషులు ఇవి

అందరికి తెలియజేయడం కొరకు ఇవి వెంటనే తొలగించి

రోడ్డు రహదారి కాలువలు ఎంతగానో ఉపయోగపడేలా

౦౦ పుట్టుక 52 గి నెత్తి నూ ౮ - - - - - - - - - -
శ్రీ కాంత శాంతి నవరు సభలో ఉపాధ్యాయ వృత్తి చేయుచు
ఉన్నవారు ఉజ్జీకాంత్ చేరారు. అందరు నెత్తి
నారు తీసి నారు పెట్టి ౦౮ పైన గాంధుదర్శి నెత్తి
నారు నెత్తి నెత్తి తీసి నవారి కి వెళ్ళారు నెత్తి
౨౦౦ రాష్ట్ర పరిషత్తు లు ఇవ్వండి అని అడిగి
నారు నెత్తి నెత్తి కూడా రాశి పేరు పెట్టి నెత్తి
తెలిపారు నా 21 గి గాంధీ గారు 1873 గి నెత్తి
పేరు నెత్తి. గుజరాత్ రాష్ట్రం నుండి తీసి పట్టి శ్రీ
రాశారు

P. 143
28.1.26

Petitioner Chak Appasamma Ramalingam
petitioner states that in spite of a stay order issued
from Govt. on 9.1.26 in connection with his appeal to Govt.
against the trusteeship of the temple he has been relieved
of his archakship and his opponent been given
charge of the temple. It appears that no intimation has been sent to the
effect that the stay order and request that the stay order
be communicated to the Salutiche —

GEN. & REV. 29 JAN 1926 MUZRAI

OR 852
D 6240 1926

[illegible]

ತಾ. 24/1/26 [illegible]

GEN. & REV. SECRET. Muz 877
SEP. Muz-167

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

[illegible] 25/2/26

[illegible]

SUBJECT.....

2DB5

Section Mer

File No. 167-25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. 2

No. 810
four

To The Mur Commr

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for a report with recor ~~within a fortnight~~ Petn registered no 711 dy 21.12.25 from one Sundara Deekshit, Ar of Sri Ramalinges Swami temple at Avan appealing against the Kolar Dy Commr's order directing the appoint of the Respondent

Date of issue 8.1.26

Signed by Mr N.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Deeskhit as the Head Archak of the temple, ~~and thereby~~ in preference to the appellant. ~~as one of the Archaks of the temple~~ and to request that pending disposal of the present appeal the execution of the Dy Commr's order appealed against may be held in abeyance.

I have etc

P/6/1

Secy to Govt
Rev Dept
Sd
6-1-26

SUBJECT.....

2065

Section

File No. 167-25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. 3

No. 811

To

.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 8. 1. 26

Signed by

res.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

మంత్రి శివ రెడ్డి గారు నగరక్షేత్రం
కింద శీ రాజ కు సంబంధించిన
శీ పి రెడ్డి గారికి
శ్రీ కే. రవీంద్ర ఉప్పల్ పి సంతకం
కలదు కాబట్టి, ఆయన పేరు శీ కి బదులు
మంత్రి గారు అని మార్చి
రవీంద్ర గారు అని మార్చి
పంపగలరు

By order,

N/6/1

(Sd) Jai Rao Secretary

6.1.96

SUBJECT.....

Section Mur

File No. 167-25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. 5

No. 994

Enclosure

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Inspr. Comrs.

Sir,

Date of issue 4-2-26

In continuation of this office: 810-Mur3 167-25 dt 8-1-26 calling for a report on the petition of Brahmasri Sundara Dikshit appealing against the Kolar Dy. Comrs order appointing the respondent Ranga Dikshit as Head Brahmin of Sri Ramalingeswara temple at Avani, I am directed to forward herewith another petition S2 from the Sri

Signed by

Draft. forwarding the petition Contin. to the H.R. & Religious. for appr

29/1-1926

Rev. Secy

29/1

List of Enclosures.....

1st number

30/1

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

individual complaining that in spite of the stay order from June he has been relieved of the Arbab by the Talukdar Mamlatdar duties etc. and to request that the submission of the report may be expedited

I have etc

(Sd) Rao Saheb Lang

Bdy

19.1.26

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 16/2/26

Signed by

Dist. forwarding the petition to the Inspector Cents, as enquiry for appr.

5-2-1926.

For approval
Rev. Secy

List of enclosures.....

1st member

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No. 167-25

Seria No. 7

No. 1053

To The Insp. Cents

Sir,

In cont of this office No. 994 - Insp. 167-25-5 dt 4-2-1926 calling a report on the appeal petition of Sundara Dikshi against the Kolar Dy. Cents. order appointing the respondent Ram. Dikshitu as Head Pujari of Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple at Avani, Mulbagal Ta I am directed to for

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

herewith another petition
No. 877 dt. 5. 2. 1926
from Smedania Dekshit
~~complaining~~ praying that his
opponent should not be
allowed to do Agama
duties in the ensuing
Ratotsava, commencing from the 16th inst.
~~to report~~ and that the
~~subm~~ submission of the respondents records
may be expedited.

I have etc

5/2
(Sd) Registrar

5/2/26

No. C 771 of 25-6 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Mso files with papers Dated Bangalore, 11th March 1926.

38173

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 10 MAR 1926 MUZRAI

GENL. & REV. SECT. Br. 13 MAR. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

D/C41
Mar 167-25-8

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.810/Muz.167-25-2 dated - 8th January 1926 forwarding for report the petition from one --- Sundara Deekshit, archak of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple at -- Avani, appealing against the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's order directing the appointment of the respondent Rama Deekshit - as the head archak of the temple in preference to the appellant, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.C.370 of 25-26 dated - 5th March 1926 together with his office Disposal File No.D1 Dis. 245 of 25-26 dated 10th November 1925 which contains the facts of the case.

The appeal petition together with its enclosures is submitted herewith along with the two subsequent petitions received with your letters dated 4th and 16th February 1926 respectively.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station)

KSR.

ನೋಟೀಸ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮಂತ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೆಕ್ರಿಟೆರಿಯುವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1925—1926 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು.....4.....

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ
ಆವಲಿ ತ್ರೈರಾಮಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ
ಸ್ವಾಮಿದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ
ಸುಂದರದೀಕ್ಷಿತರು

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
ಸದಂಗಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ
ತ್ರೈರಾಮದೀಕ್ಷಿತರು

ವಿಷಯ.
ತ್ರೈರಾಮಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರ

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲುವಾದಿ ಸುಂದರದೀಕ್ಷಿತರಿಗೆ —

ನೀವು ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಶನ್ಸ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ 1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಆಗಸ್ಟ ತಿಂಗಳ 21 ನೆ ಮರುಕುಮಿನ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯು 1926 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಜುಲೈ 31 ನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ನ 12 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ 192

ನೆ ಇಸವಿ, ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
Muz Department.

WD 0573 GPB-250—14 8 22

Muzrai 15]

ನೋಟಿಸ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ
ಸೆಕ್ರಿಟೆರಿಯುವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1925—1926 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 4

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಅಪೀಲು 1926 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಜುಲೈ 31 ಮುವತ್ತೊಂದ ನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ನ 12 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ 192 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.
Muzrar Department.

WD 0574—GPB—250—9 8 22

Immediate
Issue to Say.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್ 93
Misc 167 - 28

Enclosures for

ಮುಳ್ಳಬಾಣಲು — ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸನ 1925 1926 ನ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಆಫೀಸ 4 ನ ನಂಬರು

1. ಅಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡನಂದರ ದ್ರೈಪೈರು
2 ರಾಮಿಯಂದ್ರೈಪೈರು -

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ಮಾರ್ಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಹಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ದಮರಹಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಆಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 19 ನ ಮಾಹೆ July 1925 ನ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ

By Order,

Secretary.
Revenue Dept

G.L.B. 1000 - 811

0550A

26-7-26

26.7.26

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
31. JUL. 1926

28 7 26

Secretary

Submitted to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, B

for kind information

28.7.

To

The 2nd Member of Council,

Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

MUZRAI

D. 150

Petitioner:- Archika Dakshina Murthi alias Rama Dikshitha,

Avani, Mulbagal Taluk.

Most Respected Sir,

I, the above named petitioner most respectfully beg to submit the following for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I am at present the Head Archika of the Sriprasanna Ramalingeswaraswami Temple, Avani.

One by name Srikanta Dikshitha was appointed as Head Archika of the said temple since the time of restoration of the temple to the Government in the year 1912 when my ancestors were all dead and I was then a minor. The temple was all along in the hands of my ancestors since all rights of the temple were given to them by Government from time immemorial. When two years back the above said Srikanta Dikshitha had taken 2 years' leave on the score of his old age, he had placed his own brother's son by name Sundara Dikshitha in charge of Head Archika's duties. During this period, Sundara Dikshitha tried to get the permanent appointment of Head Archika to himself by application to Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District who after having full enquiry, was gracious enough to order that the post of Head Archika be given to me.

Thereupon Sundara Dikshitha has appealed to the Government that the order of the D. Commissioner was not correct.

Now I humbly request that taking the above into consideration you will kindly order the dismissal of the appeal by Sundara Dikshitha and the appointment of Head Archika be confirmed on me as per the order of the Kolar Deputy Commissioner in the appeal case No. 9 of 24-25 dated 20-9-1925.

Bangalore

[illegible] 9
24-25

[illegible]

V.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Sd) C. Ramachandra Rao,
Fr. Deputy [illegible]
Kolar Dist

Kolar
3.10.25

To The [illegible]
Mulbagal for [illegible]

శుభమస్తు

దశరథ శ్రీరామపత్రం

సంతోషాతిశయ సంధ్యమానసూత్రవంద వేణ్ణపనిలి. జనవరి నెల పాతిక

శుభ. అయిరత్నపరిపూర్ణ భాగ్యతిసంవత్సర పుష్యమాసములో

పాత్రమిలి అమిల్లాం పుళకాంగుల నవాంతిష్ట్ర పుగోదిరి

ఆనగిలి పేసాంగ్రామురల్లలువ అభినవాంధ్ర స్త్రీ జీవన

దసుమాంశ-అనుప్రేమ్తులంచి తువ్వంపుష్టవయ, సైనభ్యాంతస్థా

జగోంతసుబ్బయ్యనమగ మునేశ్వరయ్యనవనగిలి —

బి. కొత్తూరు జిల్లా ప్రగనూరి ఉమిగాంగ్రామం

పేమ్మసాంయనపల్లిమపు ఆంధ్రశ్రీరాంతపరిషణ్ణిధ్యిలాం

మురల్లలు వ. అభినవాంధ్ర జీవనదవసిష్ట్ర సోంతర

సైకిళ్ళ బ్రాంధ్ర ఇతనుమాంతయ్యనమగాం సుమాంతుల

పమ్మపు పుష్టవయ సైనవంతపుటిళ్ళయ్యబరశిష్టాంధ్ర పత్రం

సైరాంతపత్రం-మేనంతతో ఆచగిలి నిమ్మనే సంతెంనవిల్లా

ధ్రాంధాంనిమగి నోమ్మకింతతిలపేమ్మనవరిగూం. సంతపే

లోగాంగిలి యమూంపు కొత్తాగిమ్మనే ఆ పగిలాంపుర సంభవాం

తంపర్వవాంగిలి నిమగి నతగాం బుంతిరాం పశ్రీరాంపులంనేలవ

తస్తాం మీలు అభినవాంధ్ర జీవనాంధ్రశ్రీ నమ్మవంశవన్నపు దతపు

పురప్పనన్నకింమాంతంయుంటుపపేవంపు సైనదప్పాం

మూంతిరిగి యుంటుపనన్ననిమ్మనే-పమ్మాలిగిలి కింటుపాంతే

సనిపుదశరాంసైరాంతపుత్రనయు-పగ్గుమాంతంతంతపుపు

తిరింటుపరింయూంతప్పాంలపేలోంపుళ్ళుకింటుపాంతు

అంతిరతపుత్రాంతి-గిన్నగాం తిపస్సిరి కింటాం పాంతుం

గతంపాంయుంతవిధవాంతభాంధరిల్లాపే గిమ్మనళాసిస్తే

ఆస్తిగూం జూంతాంతిరాంతిలయిగిసరాం నమ్మపనన్నగూంతి

కింటాంళ్ళళ్ళపస్తి రాంపుపక్కకింటతిలాంపుంపేలాంపుకాం

పునః మూంతిరిగి పాంగిదశరాంతాంకింటాంపు ఇలుత్తి

పి దశరాంగూంతి కింటాంపు అభినవదేవాంపుతిరిగి నన్న

నళగాంపుదశరాంతపు, సవిరవాంతిరు పుపనస్తి తిస్తే

సంమ్మంధపుళ్ళపనాంతి అపుతిల్లాగిమ్మనిష్టాంపుసాం

రాంతతసతిష్ఠాంధ్రనగళుగతి సింకింటాంతు నిమ్మవంశ

వన్నలూంధాంపూంతి కింటాంతు సుభదతిపతిష్ట

కింటాంతగిన్నపుపః మూంతిరిగి యుంతిపరశిరాంకింటాంపు

త్రనిలాంతపత్రం పుకొత్తాదపేలాంపూంతిరిగి యుంతిన్న

తిగిమ్మనళాంతి కింటాంపు ఇలుత్తి నిలి - సంతపేణ

పల్లి. రుగువుంతలుమ్మాకింటాంతు

పేంతటిళాంపుమ్మాలు జూపుం

పాంపూంపేపల్లలు జూపుపు. కింటాంతు పాంతపత్రం

సాంపేగిళాం చ్రేవాంతాం

1 ఆచగిలి సంపాంగిళాలు. పుకింటాంతపు సుంతాంతధాంతిలాంపేగి

2 తిపుతాంపుంయుసాంపేగి సంతపేపుసనతపుగాం. పేంతళాంపుంపుపాంగిళ

3 యులసాంతిరుగిళాంపేపుసనతపుగాం. పేంతళాంపుంపుపాంగిళ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

రామేశ్వరస్వామి
దేవస్థాన
లక్ష్మణస్వామి దేవ
స్థాన
భరతేశ్వరదేవస్థాన
శత్రుఘ్నదేవస్థాన
హనుమంతేశ్వరదేవస్థాన

౧ సుగ్రీవదేవస్థాన
౧ వాలిశ్వరదేవస్థాన
౧ మన్మథేశ్వరదేవస్థాన
౧ రాక్షసేశ్వరదేవస్థాన
౧ నీలకంఠేశ్వరదేవస్థాన

చిత్రమేలి.

౧ రామాంజనేయదేవస్థాన
౧ సౌరంధ్రదేవస్థాన

శిలారామదేవస్థాన
దేవస్థాన
లవకుశశిలాదేవస్థాన
పాలద్రోవ ముక్కోటేశ్వరదేవస్థాన
౫ పంచ-పాండవదేవస్థాన

౧౦

ఇంకొందేవస్థానములు [illegible]
[illegible] ఆ దేవస్థానములు [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

శివపాదాన్ని పరతత్త్వములు కాబట్టి ఇవి పట్టు
పట్టి శిశుగనిబంధము. యిందేసలుపు మాన్యశిగ
ఈదేవస్థానమందే వర్తించు పరతత్త్వములు
పై ఆప్పుగు ఆనవిధము.

శాంతంపురాంయుంబ్రాంయుం యిందేవస్థాన
పరతత్త్వములు కాబట్టి ఇవి - యిందు
పట్టి శిశుగనిబంధము యిది - ఆప్పుగు ఆనవిధం

ఈదేవస్థాన వర్తించు ఇరువురు అధికారు దేవ
రాజేవేరు పరరాజ

౧ సుబ్బయ్యనుని సుబ్బరాయుల్యయ్య
౧ శాంతయ్యనమని. ౧ నరసుని

యివరు దేవరజోక్తము. కాబట్టి ఇరువురు
పంచిత్వన పరతత్త్వము యిందే ఆప్పుగు
గాం ఆనవిధము. పరతత్త్వాంశ్వాంతు
యిది ఆనేదివసిం బంధాంతు. ఆధికారిగ
పరతత్త్వములు కాబట్టి ఇద్దరి. ఆ రెండు పరతత్త్వ
సలుపు మాన్యశిగ ఆప్పుగు ఆనవిధం
యిందు ఇరువురి శిలధారగ ధనుశిలు శిల
ధారం. ఆ శిలధార బ్రం గ్రే శిలధార పం
పశిలధార నెలధార కమం శిలధార గం
గరశిలధార. బల్లు శిలధార నిం శిలధార
అంత రక్షం గే. యిందు మాన్యశిగ. శిలధార
లు ఇద్దే.

ఈదేవస్థాన జీవనోపాధి రాం పేంట
కే. యుళవుంపురాం యిందు రాజీంసు దే
వరాం యుళలు. చేయు ఆళదేశదవలు
గాంతి

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Meeru

File No 16 ... 25

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the *192* .

No. 372

Date of issue 24-8-26.

Signed by _____

To

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzzai Appeal No. 5 of 1925 6

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Sundara Dikshith. Rama Dikshith,

Subject.

Appeal against the Kolar Dy. Com-r's. order directing the appointment of Rama Dikshith (respondent) as the Head Archak, and ~~the appellant~~ of the (appellant) Sundara Dikshith, as Archa, of Sri Ramalingeswara Swamy temple, at Aram in the Mulbagal Taluk

2b6-8 Order
Muzz 167-25-9

10th August 1926

The case was heard on 31st July 1926, the aplt and the respdt being present in person and the

not recognized in the scale
establishment of the temple
sanctioned in G.O. No 1918-20
Rev. 302 18-2
dt 23-1-1919 according to which
there are only two archakas
on a pay of Rs 8/- each.
It is however contended
as observed by the Dy. Comr.
to treat one of the archakas
as the Head Archak for
practical purposes. The Head
Archakship is not hereditary
and whichever of the two
archakas is considered competent
to hold it, may be permitted
to work as such. The
Dharmadarsi, the Sub Divisl
officer and the Dy. Comr. —
all hold that the respt.
is by his age and qualifications,
more fitted to be the
Head Archak. Both parties,
when questioned admitted
that the emoluments of
the office have equally
shared by them another

J. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUI
SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*